

**Algebraic cobordism of filtered vector bundles on varieties:
Notes on a work of Lee and Pandharipande**

Chien-Hao Liu, Yu-jong Tzeng, and Shing-Tung Yau

Abstract

The construction of double point cobordism groups of vector bundles on varieties in the work [Lee-P] (arXiv:1002.1500 [math.AG]) of Yuan-Pin Lee and Rahul Pandharipande gives immediately double point cobordism groups of filtered vector bundles on varieties. We note also that among the four basic operations – direct sum, tensor product, dual, and $\mathcal{H}om$ – on vector bundles on varieties, only taking dual is compatible with double point cobordisms of vector bundles on varieties in general, by a demonstration on an example of vector bundles on Calabi-Yau 3-folds. A question on refined and/or higher algebraic cobordisms of vector bundles on varieties is posed in the end.

Key words: algebraic cobordism, double point relation, filtered vector bundle.

MSC number 2010: 14F43, 14J60; 57R90, 14J32, 81T30.

Acknowledgements. We thank Si Li and Baosen Wu for discussions. C.-H.L. thanks in addition Y.-j. and Jacob Lurie for related topic courses and Ling-Miao Chou for moral support.

0. Introduction and outline.

In this note, we observe that the construction of double point cobordism groups of vector bundles on varieties in the work [Lee-P] (arXiv:1002.1500 [math.AG]) of Yuan-Pin Lee and Rahul Pandharipande gives immediately double point cobordism groups of filtered vector bundles on varieties. We note also that among the four basic operations – direct sum, tensor product, dual, and $\mathcal{H}om$ – on vector bundles on varieties, only taking dual is compatible with double point cobordisms of vector bundles on varieties in general, by a demonstration on an example of vector bundles on Calabi-Yau 3-folds. A question on refined and/or higher algebraic cobordisms of vector bundles on varieties is posed in the end.

Convention. Standard notations, terminology, operations, facts in (1) algebraic geometry; (2) algebraic cobordism of varieties; (3) algebraic cobordism of vector bundles on varieties can be found respectively in (1) [Fu: Appendix B]; (2) [L-M], [Lev-P]; (3) [Lee-P].

- All schemes are over $\mathbb{C}$, which can be replaced by a field of characteristic 0 or any field that satisfies the resolution-of-singularities property of Hironaka; [Hi] and [L-M: Appendix].
- The convention for the notion of the *vector bundle* E associated to a *locally free sheaf* $\mathcal{E}$ and its *projectivization* $\mathbb{P}(E)$ follows Fulton [Fu] (instead of Grothendieck in Hartshorne’s text book). We will write $\mathcal{E}$ and E interchangeably in this note to save notations.
- For a product $\mathbb{P}^{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{n_s}$ of projective spaces (over $\mathbb{C}$), we’ll denote the line bundle $\pi_1^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n_1}}(d_1)) \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi_s^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n_s}}(d_s))$ thereon, where $\pi_i : \mathbb{P}^{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{n_s} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n_i}$ is the projection map, by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{n_s}}(d_1, \cdots, d_s)$ or simply $\mathcal{O}(d_1, \cdots, d_s)$.
- For a pair $(f : Y \rightarrow X, L)$, where Y (resp. X ; $f : Y \rightarrow X$; L) is a smooth quasi-projective variety (resp. a (separated) scheme (of finite type) over $\mathbb{C}$; a projective morphism; a line bundle on Y), $[f : Y \rightarrow X, L]$ represents, on one hand, a class in $\Omega_*(X)$ in [L-M] and, on the other hand, a class in $\omega_{*,1}(X)$ in [Lee-P]. In Sec. 2 of the current note, we need such a presentation of a class in $\Omega_*(\bullet)$ while studying $\omega_{*,1}(\bullet)$. To avoid confusion, we denote a class $[f : Y \rightarrow X, L_1, \cdots, L_s] \in \Omega_*(X)$ also by $[f : Y \rightarrow X; L_1, \cdots, L_s]$ whenever needed for clarity.
- This note is meant to be a footnote to [Lee-P]; in particular, we assume readers are familiar with both the notations and the contents of ibidem.

Outline.

0. Introduction.
1. Algebraic cobordism of filtered vector bundles on varieties.
 - 1.1 Double point cobordism of lists of lists of line bundles on varieties.
 - 1.2 Double point cobordism of filtered vector bundles on varieties.
2. Algebraic cobordism of and basic operations on vector bundles on varieties.
 - (Non)compatibility between basic operations and algebraic cobordisms.
 - Question: Refined and higher algebraic cobordisms of vector bundles on varieties?

1 Algebraic cobordism of filtered vector bundles on varieties.

We explain in this section that the construction of Lee and Pandharipande of algebraic cobordism of vector bundles on varieties in [Lee-P] gives also algebraic cobordism of filtered vector bundles on varieties.

1.1 Double point cobordism of lists of lists of line bundles on varieties.

We recall [Lee-P: Sec. 2] in this subsection in a format we need. This is the foundation of the current note.

Definition 1.1.1. [double point degeneration over $0 \in \mathbb{P}^1$]. ([Lev-P: Sec. 0.3].) Let $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}_{\mathbb{C}}$ be (smooth, quasi-projective) of pure dimension. A morphism $\pi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is a *double point degeneration* over $0 \in \mathbb{P}^1$ if $\pi^{-1}(0) = A \cup B$ with A and B smooth codimension-1 closed subscheme of Y that intersect transversely. $D := A \cap B$ is the *double point locus* of π over $0 \in \mathbb{P}^1$. (Here, A , B , and D can be either empty or have several connected components.) Let $N_{D/A}$ and $N_{D/B}$ be the normal bundles of D in A and B respectively. Note that $N_{D/A} \otimes N_{D/B} \simeq \mathcal{O}_D$ and the two projective bundles $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_D \oplus N_{D/A}) \rightarrow D$ and $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_D \oplus N_{D/B}) \rightarrow D$ are isomorphic. Either one will be denoted $\mathbb{P}(\pi) \rightarrow D$.

Definition 1.1.2. [partition list]. A *partition list* of size n and type $r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_s$ is a tuple

$$(\lambda, \vec{m}^\bullet) := (\lambda, (m_1, \dots, m_{r_1}; m_{r_1+1}, \dots, m_{r_2}; \dots; m_{r_{s-1}+1}, \dots, m_{r_s}))$$

where

- λ is a partition of n , (whose length will be denoted by $l(\lambda)$),
- the ungrouped tuple $\vec{m} := (m_1, \dots, m_{r_1}, m_{r_1+1}, \dots, m_{r_2}, \dots, m_{r_{s-1}+1}, \dots, m_{r_s})$ associated to $\vec{m}^\bullet$ is a list with $m_i \geq 0$ whose union of *nonzero* parts is a sub-partition $\mu \subset \lambda$.

Denote by $\mathcal{P}_{n,1^{r_1} < r_2 < \dots < r_s}$ the set of all partition lists of size n and type $r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_s$. Note that there is a canonical isomorphism of sets $\mathcal{P}_{n,1^{r_1} < r_2 < \dots < r_s} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}_{n,1^{r_s}}$ by forgetting the grouping.

Definition 1.1.3. [partition]. A *partition* of size n and type $r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_s$ is a tuple

$$(\lambda, \vec{\mu}) := (\lambda, (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_s))$$

where

- λ is a partition of n , (whose length will be denoted by $l(\lambda)$),
- the union of $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_s$ is a sub-partition $\mu \subset \lambda$ with length $l(\mu_i) \leq r_i - r_{i-1}$, for $i = 1, \dots, s$.

Denote by $\mathcal{P}_{n,r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_s}$ the set of all partitions of size n and type $r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_s$.

Example 1.1.4. [partition list and partition]. The sets $\mathcal{P}_{4,1^2 < 3}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{4,2 < 3}$ are shown below: (Elements that are bold-faced are those contained in $\mathcal{P}_{*,1^2 < 3}^\natural$ and $\mathcal{P}_{*,2 < 3}^\natural$ respectively; cf. Theorem 1.1.10 and Theorem 1.2.4.)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_{4,1^2<3} &= \left\{ \begin{aligned}
&(4, (0,0;0)), (4, (4,0;0)), (4, (0,4;0)), (4, (0,0;4)), \\
&(31, (0,0;0)), \\
&(31, (3,0;0)), (31, (0,3;0)), (31, (0,0;3)), (31, (1,0;0)), (31, (0,1;0)), (31, (0,0;1)), \\
&\mathbf{(31, (3,1;0))}, \mathbf{(31, (1,3;0))}, \mathbf{(31, (3,0;1))}, \mathbf{(31, (1,0;3))}, \mathbf{(31, (0,3;1))}, \mathbf{(31, (0,1;3))}, \\
&(22, (0,0;0)), \\
&(22, (2,0;0)), (22, (0,2;0)), (22, (0,0;2)), \mathbf{(22, (2,2;0))}, \mathbf{(22, (2,0;2))}, \mathbf{(22, (0,2;2))}, \\
&(211, (0,0;0)), \\
&(211, (2,0;0)), (211, (0,2;0)), (211, (0,0;2)), (211, (1,0;0)), (211, (0,1;0)), (211, (0,0;1)), \\
&(211, (2,1;0)), (211, (1,2;0)), (211, (2,0;1)), (211, (1,0;2)), (211, (0,2;1)), (211, (0,1;2)), \\
&(211, (1,1;0)), (211, (1,0;1)), (211, (0,1;1)), \mathbf{(211, (2,1;1))}, \mathbf{(211, (1,2;1))}, \mathbf{(211, (1,1;2))}, \\
&(1111, (0,0;0)), (1111, (1,0;0)), (1111, (0,1;0)), (1111, (0,0;1)), \\
&(1111, (1,1;0)), (1111, (1,0;1)), (1111, (0,1;1)), (1111, (1,1;1))
\end{aligned} \right\}; \\
\mathcal{P}_{4,2<3} &= \left\{ \begin{aligned}
&(4, (\emptyset, \emptyset)), (4, (4, \emptyset)), (4, (\emptyset, 4)), \\
&(31, (\emptyset, \emptyset)), (31, (3, \emptyset)), (31, (\emptyset, 3)), (31, (1, \emptyset)), (31, (\emptyset, 1)), \\
&\mathbf{(31, (31, \emptyset))}, \mathbf{(31, (3, 1))}, \mathbf{(31, (1, 3))}, \\
&(22, (\emptyset, \emptyset)), (22, (2, \emptyset)), (22, (\emptyset, 2)), \mathbf{(22, (22, \emptyset))}, \mathbf{(22, (2, 2))}, \\
&(211, (\emptyset, \emptyset)), (211, (2, \emptyset)), (211, (\emptyset, 2)), (211, (1, \emptyset)), (211, (\emptyset, 1)), \\
&(211, (21, \emptyset)), (211, (2, 1)), (211, (1, 2)), (211, (11, \emptyset)), (211, (1, 1)), \\
&\mathbf{(211, (21, 1))}, \mathbf{(211, (11, 2))}, \\
&(1111, (\emptyset, \emptyset)), (1111, (1, \emptyset)), (1111, (\emptyset, 1)), (1111, (11, \emptyset)), (1111, (1, 1)), (1111, (11, 1))
\end{aligned} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Double point cobordism of lists of lists of line bundles on varieties.

Definition 1.1.5. [list of lists of line bundles on smooth varieties over X]. For $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $0 < r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_s$ a sequence of positive integers, let $\mathcal{M}_{n,1^{r_1}<\dots<r_s}(X)$ be the set of isomorphism classes of tuples

$$(f : Y \rightarrow X, (L_1, \dots, L_{r_1}; L_{r_1+1}, \dots, L_{r_2}; \dots; L_{r_{s-1}+1}, \dots, L_{r_s}))$$

with $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}_{\mathbb{C}}$ of dimension n , f projective, and

$$(L_1, \dots, L_{r_1}; L_{r_1+1}, \dots, L_{r_2}; \dots; L_{r_{s-1}+1}, \dots, L_{r_s})$$

an (ordered) list of line bundles on Y with a *grouping* specified by $0 < r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_s$, as indicated by $;$'s that divide the list and turn it into an (ordered) *list of* (ordered) *lists*. Here, an *isomorphism* from $(f : Y \rightarrow X, (L_1, \dots, L_{r_1}; L_{r_1+1}, \dots, L_{r_2}; \dots; L_{r_{s-1}+1}, \dots, L_{r_s}))$ to $(f' : Y' \rightarrow X, (L'_1, \dots, L'_{r_1}; L'_{r_1+1}, \dots, L'_{r_2}; \dots; L'_{r_{s-1}+1}, \dots, L'_{r_s}))$ is a tuple

$$(\phi, (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{r_1}; \psi_{r_1+1}, \dots, \psi_{r_2}; \dots; \psi_{r_{s-1}+1}, \dots, \psi_{r_s}))$$

where $\phi : Y \rightarrow Y'$ is an isomorphism of X -schemes and $\psi_i : L_i \xrightarrow{\sim} \phi^* L'_i$ are isomorphisms of line bundles on Y , for $i = 1, \dots, r_s$. The *isomorphism class* of

$$(f : Y \rightarrow X, (L_1, \dots, L_{r_1}; L_{r_1+1}, \dots, L_{r_2}; \dots; L_{r_{s-1}+1}, \dots, L_{r_s}))$$

in $\mathcal{M}_{n,1^{r_1}<\dots<r_s}(X)$ is denoted by

$$[f : Y \rightarrow X, (L_1, \dots, L_{r_1}; L_{r_1+1}, \dots, L_{r_2}; \dots; L_{r_{s-1}+1}, \dots, L_{r_s})]$$

or $[f : Y \rightarrow X, (L_1, \dots, L_{r_s})]$ in short, with the specified grouping assumed.

The set $\mathcal{M}_{n,1^{r_1}<\dots<r_s}(X)$ is a *monoid* under the disjoint union of domains. Denote by $\mathcal{M}_{n,1^{r_1}<\dots<r_s}(X)^+$ the *group completion* of $\mathcal{M}_{n,1^{r_1}<\dots<r_s}(X)$.

Definition 1.1.6. [double point relation over X]. ([Lee-P: Sec. 2.1, Definition 4]; also [Lev-P: Sec. 14.4] and [Tz1: Sec. 2.2].) Let

- $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}_{\mathbb{C}}$ be of pure dimension $n + 1$,
- $g : Y \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{P}^1$ be a projective morphism for which the composition $\pi := pr_2 \circ g : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is a double point degeneration over $0 \in \mathbb{P}^1$,
- $(L_1, \dots, L_{r_1}; \dots; L_{r_{s-1}+1}, \dots, L_{r_s})$ be a list of lists of line bundles with grouping specified by $0 < r_1 < \dots < r_s$,
- $[A \rightarrow X, (L_{1,A}, \dots, L_{r_s,A})], [B \rightarrow X, (L_{1,B}, \dots, L_{r_s,B})], [\mathbb{P}(\pi) \rightarrow X, (L_{1,\mathbb{P}(\pi)}, \dots, L_{r_s,\mathbb{P}(\pi)})] \in \mathcal{M}_{n,1^{r_1} < \dots < r_s}(X)^+$ be obtained from the fiber $\pi^{-1}(0)$ and the morphism $pr_1 \circ g$.

Let $\zeta \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ be a regular value of π . The associated *double point relation* over X is defined to be the element

$$[Y_{\zeta}, (L_{i,Y_{\zeta}})_i] - [A \rightarrow X, (L_{i,A})_i] - [B \rightarrow X, (L_{i,B})_i] + [\mathbb{P}(\pi) \rightarrow X, (L_{i,\mathbb{P}(\pi)})_i]$$

in $\mathcal{M}_{n,1^{r_1} < \dots < r_s}(X)^+$, where $Y_{\zeta} := \pi^{-1}(\zeta)$.

Definition 1.1.7. [double point cobordism group $\omega_{n,1^{r_1} < \dots < r_s}(X)$]. ([Lee-P: Sec. 2.1].) Continuing the discussion, let

$$\mathcal{R}_{n,1^{r_1} < \dots < r_s}(X) \subset \mathcal{M}_{n,1^{r_1} < \dots < r_s}(X)^+$$

be the subgroup generated by all double point relations over X . The *double point cobordism group* of X for lists of lists of line bundles of grouping type $r_1 < \dots < r_s$ is defined to be the quotient group

$$\omega_{n,1^{r_1} < \dots < r_s}(X) := \mathcal{M}_{n,1^{r_1} < \dots < r_s}(X)^+ / \mathcal{R}_{n,1^{r_1} < \dots < r_s}(X).$$

Note that the sum

$$\omega_{*,1^{r_1} < \dots < r_s}(X) := \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \omega_{n,1^{r_1} < \dots < r_s}(X)$$

is an $\omega_*(\mathbb{C})$ -module via product.

The following lemma is immediate:

Lemma 1.1.8. [no influence of decoration to group/ $\omega_*(\mathbb{C})$ -module structure]. *The natural forgetful map*

$$\mathcal{M}_{n,1^{r_1} < \dots < r_s}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{n,1^{r_s}}(X)$$

that sends

$$(f : Y \rightarrow X, (L_1, \dots, L_{r_1}; L_{r_1+1}, \dots, L_{r_2}; \dots; L_{r_{s-1}+1}, \dots, L_{r_s}))$$

to

$$(f : Y \rightarrow X, (L_1, \dots, L_{r_1}, L_{r_1+1}, \dots, L_{r_2}, \dots, L_{r_{s-1}+1}, \dots, L_{r_s}))$$

induces a canonical group isomorphism

$$\omega_{n,1^{r_1} < \dots < r_s}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \omega_{n,1^{r_s}}(X),$$

which is also an $\omega_*(\mathbb{C})$ -module isomorphism.

Theorem 1.1.9. $[\omega_{n,1^{r_1}<\dots<r_s}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \text{ as } \mathbb{Q}\text{-vector space}]$. ([Lee-P: Sec. 2.2, Theorem 9].)
 To each $(\lambda, \vec{m}^\bullet) \in \mathcal{P}_{n,1^{r_1}<r_2<\dots<r_s}$, associate an element

$$\phi(\lambda, \vec{m}^\bullet) = [\mathbb{P}^\lambda, (L_{m_1}, \dots, L_{m_{r_1}}; L_{m_{r_1+1}}, \dots, L_{m_{r_2}}; \dots; L_{m_{r_{s-1}+1}}, \dots, L_{m_{r_s}})]$$

in $\omega_{n,1^{r_1}<r_2<\dots<r_s}(\mathbb{C})$, where

- $\mathbb{P}^\lambda := \mathbb{P}^{\lambda_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}^{\lambda_{l(\lambda)}}$ is a product of projective spaces specified by λ ,
- $(L_{m_1}, \dots, L_{m_{r_1}}; L_{m_{r_1+1}}, \dots, L_{m_{r_2}}; \dots; L_{m_{r_{s-1}+1}}, \dots, L_{m_{r_s}})$ is a list of lists of line bundles on $\mathbb{P}^\lambda$ defined as follows:
 - Let $\vec{m}_+$ be the sub-tuple of $\vec{m}$ that consists of all the nonzero (hence positive) entries of $\vec{m}$; then $\vec{m}_+$ specifies a sub-partition $\mu = \mu_{\vec{m}}$ of λ . Let $pr_\mu^\lambda: \mathbb{P}^\lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^\mu$ be a projection map to a product of components specified by μ . Then, up to an automorphism on $\mathbb{P}^\lambda$ that permutes equal-dimensional factors, pr_μ^λ is uniquely determined by (λ, μ) and, hence, by $(\lambda, \vec{m})$.
 - For $m_i \in \vec{m}_+ \subset \vec{m}$, let $\hat{p}r_i$ be the composition of projection maps $\mathbb{P}^\lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^\mu \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{m_i}$. Then, $L_{m_i} := \hat{p}r_i^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{m_i}}(1))$.
 - For $m_i \notin \vec{m}_+$, $L_{m_i} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^\lambda}$.

Then,

$$\omega_{n,1^{r_1}<\dots<r_s}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} = \bigoplus_{(\lambda, \vec{m}^\bullet) \in \mathcal{P}_{n,1^{r_1}<r_2<\dots<r_s}} \mathbb{Q} \cdot \phi(\lambda, \vec{m}^\bullet).$$

Recall the ideal $\mathfrak{m} \subset \omega_*(\mathbb{C})$ that is generated by elements of positive dimensions:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m} \longrightarrow \omega_*(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{P}_{*,1^{r_1}<r_2<\dots<r_s} := \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_{n,1^{r_1}<r_2<\dots<r_s}.$$

Then, Theorem 1.1.9 says that

$$\mathcal{B}_{*,1^{r_1}<r_2<\dots<r_s} := \{ \phi(\lambda, \vec{m}^\bullet) : (\lambda, \vec{m}^\bullet) \in \mathcal{P}_{*,1^{r_1}<r_2<\dots<r_s} \}$$

forms a basis of $\omega_{*,1^{r_1}<\dots<r_s}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ as a $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space. The set of elements in $\mathcal{B}_{*,1^{r_1}<r_2<\dots<r_s}$ that do not lie in the $\omega_*(\mathbb{C})$ -submodule $\mathfrak{m} \cdot \omega_{*,1^{r_1}<\dots<r_s}(\mathbb{C})$ of $\omega_{*,1^{r_1}<\dots<r_s}(\mathbb{C})$ is given by

$$\mathcal{B}_{*,1^{r_1}<r_2<\dots<r_s}^\natural := \{ \phi(\lambda, \vec{m}^\bullet) : (\lambda, \vec{m}^\bullet) \in \mathcal{P}_{*,1^{r_1}<r_2<\dots<r_s}, l(\lambda) \leq r_s, \mu_{\vec{m}} = \lambda \}$$

Let

$$\mathcal{P}_{*,1^{r_1}<\dots<r_s}^\natural := \{ (\lambda, \vec{m}^\bullet) \in \mathcal{P}_{*,1^{r_1}<r_2<\dots<r_s} : l(\lambda) \leq r_s, \mu_{\vec{m}} = \lambda \}$$

from the above characterization. Then, one has the following two fundamental theorems on the structure of $\omega_{*,1^{r_1}<\dots<r_s}(\mathbb{C})$ and $\omega_{*,1^{r_1}<\dots<r_s}(X)$. They follow from the construction of [Lee-P: Sec. 2] and an adaptation of [Lee-P: Sec. 4] to the current situation:

Theorem 1.1.10. $[\omega_{*,1^{r_1}<\dots<r_s}(\mathbb{C}) \text{ as } \omega_*(\mathbb{C})\text{-module}]$. (Cf. [Lee-P: Sec. 0.7, Theorem 2; Sec. 0.8, Theorem 3; Sec. 2].)

$$\omega_{*,1^{r_1}<\dots<r_s}(\mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{(\lambda, \vec{m}^\bullet) \in \mathcal{P}_{*,1^{r_1}<\dots<r_s}^\natural} \omega_*(\mathbb{C}) \cdot \phi(\lambda, \vec{m}^\bullet).$$

Theorem 1.1.11. $[\omega_{*,1^{r_1}<\dots<r_s}(X) \text{ via } \omega_*(X) \otimes_{\omega_*(\mathbb{C})} \omega_{*,1^{r_1}<\dots<r_s}(\mathbb{C})]$. (Cf. [Lee-P: Sec. 0.8, Theorem 3].) For $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbb{C}}$, the natural map

$$\gamma_X : \omega_*(X) \otimes_{\omega_*(\mathbb{C})} \omega_{*,1^{r_1}<\dots<r_s}(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \omega_{*,1^{r_1}<\dots<r_s}(X)$$

of $\omega_*(\mathbb{C})$ -modules defined by

$$\gamma_X \left([Y \xrightarrow{f} X] \otimes \phi(\lambda, \vec{m}^*) \right) = [Y \times \mathbb{P}^\lambda \xrightarrow{f \circ pr_Y} X, pr_{\mathbb{P}^\lambda}^*(L_{m_1}, \dots, L_{m_r})]$$

is an isomorphism of $\omega_*(\mathbb{C})$ -modules. Here, $(\lambda, \vec{m}^*) \in \mathcal{P}_{*,1^{r_1}<\dots<r_s}^{\mathbb{d}}$ and $pr_Y : Y \times \mathbb{P}^\lambda \rightarrow Y$, $pr_{\mathbb{P}^\lambda} : Y \times \mathbb{P}^\lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^\lambda$ are the projection maps.

Finally, the following theorem gives a numerical aspect of double point cobordism classes:

Theorem 1.1.12. [Chern invariant]. ([Lee-P: Sec. 2.3, Sec. 2.6].) Let $\mathcal{C}_{n,1^{r_1}<\dots<r_s}$ be the $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space of graded degree n -polynomials in the Chern classes:

$$(c_1(T_Y), \dots, c_n(T_Y); c_1(L_1), \dots, c_1(L_{r_1}); \dots; c_1(L_{r_{s-1}+1}), \dots, c_1(L_{r_s})).$$

The pairing

$$\rho : \omega_{n,1^{r_1}<\dots<r_s}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \times \mathcal{C}_{n,1^{r_1}<\dots<r_s} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$$

defined by

$$\rho([Y, (L_1, \dots, L_{r_s})], \Theta) = \int_Y \Theta(c_1(T_Y), \dots, c_n(T_Y), c_1(L_1), \dots, c_1(L_{r_s}))$$

is nondegenerate. In particular, a class

$$[Y, (L_1, \dots, L_{r_1}; \dots; L_{r_{s-1}+1}, \dots, L_{r_s})] \in \omega_{n,1^{r_1}<\dots<r_s}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$$

is characterized by its Chern invariants.

1.2 Algebraic cobordism of filtered vector bundles on varieties.

We now explain how the construction of $\omega_{*,r}(X)$ in [Lee-P] gives immediately double point cobordism groups of filtered vector bundles on varieties.

Filtration, gradation, and list generalizations of $\omega_{*,r}(X)$.

The cobordism group $\omega_{*,r}(X)$ constructed in [Lee-P] has three natural generalizations:

- (1) Double point cobordism group $\omega_{*,r_1<\dots<r_s}(X)$ of *filtered vector bundles* on smooth varieties, constructed by replacing $\mathcal{M}_{n,1^{r_1}<\dots<r_s}(X)$ in the construction of $\omega_{n,1^{r_1}<\dots<r_s}(X)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{M}_{n,r_1<\dots<r_s}(X) \\ & := \left\{ [f : Y \rightarrow X, E_1 \subset \dots \subset E_s] \left| \begin{array}{l} \bullet Y \in \mathbf{Sm}_{\mathbb{C}} \text{ of dimension } n, \\ \bullet f \text{ projective,} \\ \bullet E_1 \subset \dots \subset E_s \text{ filtered vector bundle} \\ \quad \text{with } \text{rank}(E_i) = r_i \end{array} \right. \right\}, \\ & \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}. \end{aligned}$$

- (2) Double point cobordism group $\omega_{*, \oplus_{i=1}^s r'_i}(X)$ of *graded vector bundles* on smooth varieties, constructed similarly from

$$\mathcal{M}_{n, \oplus_{i=1}^s r'_i}(X) := \left\{ [f : Y \rightarrow X, E_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E_s] \left| \begin{array}{l} \bullet Y \in \mathbf{Sm}_{\mathbb{C}} \text{ of dimension } n, \\ \bullet f \text{ projective,} \\ \bullet E_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E_s \text{ graded vector bundle} \\ \text{with } \text{grade}(E_i) = i, \text{ rank}(E_i) = r'_i \end{array} \right. \right\},$$

for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

- (3) Double point cobordism group $\omega_{*, (r''_i)_{i=1}^s}(X)$ of (ordered) *lists of vector bundles* on smooth varieties, constructed similarly from

$$\mathcal{M}_{n, (r''_i)_{i=1}^s}(X) := \left\{ [f : Y \rightarrow X, (E_1, \cdots, E_s)] \left| \begin{array}{l} \bullet Y \in \mathbf{Sm}_{\mathbb{C}} \text{ of dimension } n, \\ \bullet f \text{ projective,} \\ \bullet (E_1, \cdots, E_s) \text{ list of vector bundles} \\ \text{with } \text{rank}(E_i) = r''_i \end{array} \right. \right\},$$

for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Cf. Definition 1.1.5, Definition 1.1.6, and Definition 1.1.7.

The following observation is the starting point of the current note:

Lemma 1.2.1. [Filtration, gradation, and list: three in one]. *Under the above setting, the homomorphisms of monoids¹*

$$\mathcal{M}_{*, r_1 < \cdots < r_s}(X) \xrightarrow{gr} \mathcal{M}_{*, \oplus_{i=1}^s (r_i - r_{i-1})}(X) \xrightarrow{list} \mathcal{M}_{*, (r_i - r_{i-1})_{i=1}^s}(X)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} [f : Y \rightarrow X, E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \cdots \subset E_s] \\ \mapsto [f : Y \rightarrow X, E_1 \oplus (E_2/E_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (E_s/E_{s-1})] \\ \mapsto [f : Y \rightarrow X, (E_1, E_2/E_1, \cdots, E_s/E_{s-1})] \end{aligned}$$

induce group isomorphisms

$$\omega_{*, r_1 < \cdots < r_s}(X) \xrightarrow[\sim]{gr} \omega_{*, \oplus_{i=1}^s (r_i - r_{i-1})}(X) \xrightarrow[\sim]{list} \omega_{*, (r_i - r_{i-1})_{i=1}^s}(X),$$

which are also $\omega_*(\mathbb{C})$ -module isomorphisms.

Proof. We prove the lemma in two parts.

- (a) The sequence of monoid homomorphisms

$$\mathcal{M}_{*, r_1 < \cdots < r_s}(X) \xrightarrow{gr} \mathcal{M}_{*, \oplus_{i=1}^s (r_i - r_{i-1})}(X) \xrightarrow{list} \mathcal{M}_{*, (r_i - r_{i-1})_{i=1}^s}(X)$$

extend canonically to a sequence of group homomorphism after completion:

$$\mathcal{M}_{*, r_1 < \cdots < r_s}(X)^+ \xrightarrow{gr} \mathcal{M}_{*, \oplus_{i=1}^s (r_i - r_{i-1})}(X)^+ \xrightarrow{list} \mathcal{M}_{*, (r_i - r_{i-1})_{i=1}^s}(X)^+.$$

¹Here, we set the convention that $r_0 = 0$ and $E_0 = 0$.

The latter are compatible with double point relations over X :

$$\mathcal{R}_{*,r_1 < \dots < r_s}(X) \xrightarrow{gr} \mathcal{R}_{*,\oplus_{i=1}^s(r_i-r_{i-1})}(X) \xrightarrow{list} \mathcal{R}_{*,(r_i-r_{i-1})_{i=1}^s}(X)$$

and, hence, descend to a sequence of group homomorphisms:

$$\omega_{*,r_1 < \dots < r_s}(X) \xrightarrow{gr} \omega_{*,\oplus_{i=1}^s(r_i-r_{i-1})}(X) \xrightarrow{list} \omega_{*,(r_i-r_{i-1})_{i=1}^s}(X),$$

which are $\omega_*(\mathbb{C})$ -module homomorphisms by construction.

The map $list : \mathcal{M}_{*,\oplus_{i=1}^s(r_i-r_{i-1})}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{*,(r_i-r_{i-1})_{i=1}^s}(X)$ is a monoid isomorphism. Its descent $list : \omega_{*,\oplus_{i=1}^s(r_i-r_{i-1})}(X) \rightarrow \omega_{*,(r_i-r_{i-1})_{i=1}^s}(X)$ is consequently a group/ $\omega_*(\mathbb{C})$ -module isomorphism.

The monoid homomorphism $gr : \mathcal{M}_{*,r_1 < \dots < r_s}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{*,\oplus_{i=1}^s(r_i-r_{i-1})}(X)$ has a right inverse, defined by

$$\mathcal{M}_{*,r_1 < \dots < r_s}(X) \xleftarrow{gr^{-1,R}} \mathcal{M}_{*,\oplus_{i=1}^s(r_i-r_{i-1})}(X)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} [f : Y \rightarrow X, V_1 \subset V_1 \oplus V_2 \subset \dots \subset V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \dots \oplus V_s] \\ \longleftarrow [f : Y \rightarrow X, V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \dots \oplus V_s], \end{aligned}$$

where $V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_i$ is contained in $V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_i \oplus V_{i+1}$ as the subbundle $V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_i \oplus \mathbf{0}$. It satisfies the property that $gr \circ gr^{-1,R}$ is the identity map on $\mathcal{M}_{*,\oplus_{i=1}^s(r_i-r_{i-1})}(X)$. This $gr^{-1,R}$ extends to $gr^{-1,R} : \mathcal{M}_{*,\oplus_{i=1}^s(r_i-r_{i-1})}(X)^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{*,r_1 < \dots < r_s}(X)^+$ with $gr^{-1,R}(\mathcal{R}_{*,\oplus_{i=1}^s(r_i-r_{i-1})}(X)) \subset \mathcal{R}_{*,r_1 < \dots < r_s}(X)$ and, hence, descends to a group/ $\omega_*(\mathbb{C})$ -module homomorphism

$$\omega_{*,r_1 < \dots < r_s}(X) \xleftarrow{gr^{-1,R}} \omega_{*,\oplus_{i=1}^s(r_i-r_{i-1})}(X).$$

(b) We claim that

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot \quad gr : \omega_{*,r_1 < \dots < r_s}(X) &\rightarrow \omega_{*,\oplus_{i=1}^s(r_i-r_{i-1})}(X) \text{ has the inverse } gr^{-1} \text{ given by} \\ gr^{-1,R} : \omega_{*,\oplus_{i=1}^s(r_i-r_{i-1})}(X) &\rightarrow \omega_{*,r_1 < \dots < r_s}(X) \text{ defined in Part (a).} \end{aligned}$$

This is a consequence of the following two observations:

Lemma 1.2.1.(b.1). [from exact sequence to direct sum]. (Cf. [Lee-P: part of proof of Proposition 12].) *Let*

$$0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow F \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence of vector bundles on Y with F of rank r . Then,

$$[f : Y \rightarrow X, F] = [f : Y \rightarrow X, E \oplus G] \quad \text{in } \omega_{*,r}(X).$$

Proof. Note that $\text{Ext}_Y(G, F)$ is a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space with the origin $[0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow E \oplus F \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0]$. A $\mathbb{C}$ -line connecting $[0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow F \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0]$ and $[0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow E \oplus F \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0]$ is given by the push-out (i.e. fibered coproduct) of $0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow E \oplus F \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$ via the bundle homomorphism $E \xrightarrow{t} E, v \mapsto t \cdot v$, for $t \in \mathbb{C}$. This defines a vector bundle $\tilde{F}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ on $Y \times \mathbb{A}^1$, where $\mathbb{A}^1$ is the affine line $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[t]$, with $\tilde{F}_t := (\tilde{F}_{\mathbb{A}^1})|_{Y \times \{t\}} = F$ on Y for $t \neq \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{A}^1$, and $= E \oplus G$ on Y for $t = \mathbf{0}$. Explicitly, $\tilde{F}_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ is defined by the following exact sequence of vector bundles on $Y \times \mathbb{A}^1$: (for $0 \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{u} F \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$)

$$0 \longrightarrow E_{\mathbb{A}^1} \xrightarrow{(-u,t)} F_{\mathbb{A}^1} \oplus E_{\mathbb{A}^1} \longrightarrow \tilde{F}_{\mathbb{A}^1} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $E_{\mathbb{A}^1}$, $F_{\mathbb{A}^1}$, and $G_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ (resp. $E_{\mathbb{A}^1} \xrightarrow{-u} F_{\mathbb{A}^1}$) are the pullback of E , F , G (resp. $E \xrightarrow{-u} F$) on Y to $Y \times \mathbb{A}^1$ by the projection map $Y \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow Y$.

□

Lemma 1.2.1.(b.2). [gradation cobordant to filtration]. *Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_{*,r_1 < \dots < r_s}(X)$. Then*

$$[\alpha] = [gr^{-1,R} \circ gr(\alpha)] \in \omega_{*,r_1 < \dots < r_s}(X).$$

Proof. Let α be given by $[f : Y \rightarrow X, E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \dots \subset E_s] \in \mathcal{M}_{*,r_1 < \dots < r_s}(X)$. Associated to α is an inclusion tower of short exact sequences of vector bundles on Y :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & E_1 & \longrightarrow & E_1 & \longrightarrow & 0 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & E_1 & \longrightarrow & E_2 & \longrightarrow & E_2/E_1 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & E_1 & \longrightarrow & E_3 & \longrightarrow & E_3/E_1 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \vdots & \longrightarrow & \vdots & \longrightarrow & \vdots \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & E_1 & \longrightarrow & E_{s-1} & \longrightarrow & E_{s-1}/E_1 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & E_1 & \longrightarrow & E_s & \longrightarrow & E_s/E_1 \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

which defines an element in the $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space

$$\alpha_{(1)} \in \prod_{i=1}^s \text{Ext}_Y(E_i/E_1, E_1).$$

(Here, $E_0 = 0$ be convention.) Recall Lemma 1.2.1.(b.1). A simultaneous push-out of the above tower of exact sequences by the bundle homomorphism $E_1 \xrightarrow{t} E_1, v \mapsto t \cdot v$, for $t \in \mathbb{C}$ gives rise to a filtered vector bundles

$$F^\bullet E_{s,\mathbb{A}^1}^\sim : E_{1,\mathbb{A}^1}^\sim \subset E_{2,\mathbb{A}^1}^\sim \subset \dots \subset E_{s,\mathbb{A}^1}^\sim$$

on $Y \times \mathbb{A}^1$ over $\mathbb{A}^1 = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[t]$ with $(F^\bullet E_{s,\mathbb{A}^1}^\sim)_t := (F^\bullet E_{s,\mathbb{A}^1}^\sim)|_{Y \times \{t\}} = (E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \dots \subset E_s)$ on Y at $t \neq \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{A}^1$, and = the direct sum

$$F^\bullet(E_1 \oplus (E_s/E_1)) : E_1 \subset E_1 \oplus (E_2/E_1) \subset \dots \subset E_1 \oplus (E_s/E_1)$$

of the first and the third column of the above tower, as filtered vector bundles, on Y at $t = \mathbf{0}$.

Applying the push-out construction next to the filtered vector bundle

$$F^\bullet(E_s/E_1) : E_2/E_1 \subset E_3/E_1 \subset \dots \subset E_s/E_1$$

with the canonical isomorphisms $(E_i/E_j)/(E_{i'}/E_j) \simeq E_i/E_{i'}$ taken into account, augmenting the result on the left by $0 \subset \bullet$, and then taking a direct sum with the pull-back of the constant

filtration $E_1 \subset \cdots \subset E_1$ (with s -many terms) on Y to $Y \times \mathbb{A}^1$ via the projection map $Y \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow Y$, one obtains a second filtered vector bundle

$$F^\bullet(E_1 \oplus (E_s/E_1))_{\mathbb{A}^1}^\sim : E_{1,\mathbb{A}^1}^\sim \subset (E_1 \oplus (E_2/E_1))_{\mathbb{A}^1}^\sim \subset \cdots \subset (E_1 \oplus (E_s/E_1))_{\mathbb{A}^1}^\sim$$

on $Y \times \mathbb{A}^1$ over $\mathbb{A}^1 = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[t]$ with $(F^\bullet(E_1 \oplus (E_s/E_1))_{\mathbb{A}^1}^\sim)_t := (F^\bullet(E_1 \oplus (E_s/E_1))_{\mathbb{A}^1}^\sim)|_{Y \times \{t\}} = F^\bullet(E_1 \oplus (E_s/E_1))$, as constructed previously, on Y at $t \neq \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{A}^1$, and equal to

$$F^\bullet(E_1 \oplus (E_2/E_1) \oplus (E_s/E_2)) : \\ E_1 \subset E_1 \oplus (E_2/E_1) \subset E_1 \oplus (E_2/E_1) \oplus (E_3/E_2) \subset \cdots \subset E_1 \oplus (E_2/E_1) \oplus (E_s/E_2)$$

on Y at $t = \mathbf{0}$.

Repeating this procedure of

· push-out $\Rightarrow$ augment on the left by a 0 filtration $\Rightarrow$ direct sum with a constant filtration,

one obtains a length- $(s-1)$ sequence of filtered vector bundles on $Y \times \mathbb{A}^1$ that interpolate consecutively

$$F^\bullet E_s \rightsquigarrow F^\bullet(E_1 \oplus (E_s/E_1)) \rightsquigarrow F^\bullet(E_1 \oplus (E_2/E_1) \oplus (E_s/E_2)) \rightsquigarrow \cdots \rightsquigarrow F^\bullet(\oplus_{i=1}^s (E_i/E_{i-1})).$$

It follows that

$$[\alpha] = [f : Y \rightarrow X, F^\bullet(E_1 \oplus (E_s/E_1))] \\ = \cdots = [f : Y \rightarrow X, F^\bullet(\oplus_{i=1}^s (E_i/E_{i-1}))] = [gr^{-1,R} \circ gr(\alpha)]$$

in $\omega_{*,r_1 < \cdots < r_s}(X)$. This proves the sub-lemma. □

□

$\omega_{*,(r_i-r_{i-1})_{i=1}^s}(X)$ as a quotient of $\omega_{*,1^{r_1} < \cdots < r_s}(X)$.

Through the canonical isomorphism $\omega_{*,r_1 < \cdots < r_s}(X) \simeq \omega_{*,(r_i-r_{i-1})_{i=1}^s}(X)$ in Lemma 1.2.1, one can shift the focus from the original cobordism groups of filtered bundles on varieties to the (equivalent but more convenient) cobordism groups of lists of vector bundles on varieties.

The canonical monoid homomorphism

$$\kappa_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M}_{*,1^{r_1} < \cdots < r_s}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{*,(r_i-r_{i-1})_{i=1}^s}(X)$$

with

$$[f : Y \rightarrow X, (L_1, \cdots, L_{r_1}; L_{r_1+1}, \cdots, L_{r_2}; \cdots; L_{r_{s-1}+1}, \cdots, L_{r_s})] \\ \longmapsto [f : Y \rightarrow X, \left(\oplus_{i=1}^{r_1} L_i, \oplus_{i=r_1+1}^{r_2} L_i, \cdots, \oplus_{i=r_{s-1}+1}^{r_s} L_i \right)]$$

extends to a group homomorphism

$$\kappa_{\mathcal{M}^+} : \mathcal{M}_{*,1^{r_1} < \cdots < r_s}(X)^+ \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{*,(r_i-r_{i-1})_{i=1}^s}(X)^+$$

that maps $\mathcal{R}_{*,1^{r_1} < \cdots < r_s}(X)$ to $\mathcal{R}_{*,(r_i-r_{i-1})_{i=1}^s}(X)$ and, hence, descends to a group/ $\omega_*(\mathbb{C})$ -module homomorphism

$$\kappa := \kappa_\omega : \omega_{*,1^{r_1} < \cdots < r_s}(X) \longrightarrow \omega_{*,(r_i-r_{i-1})_{i=1}^s}(X).$$

The permutation group $\times_{i=1}^s \text{Sym}_{r_i-r_{i-1}}$ acts on $\mathcal{M}_{*,1^{r_1}<\dots<r_s}(X)$ by permutation of the elements of a list in a list of lists:

$$\begin{aligned} [f : Y \rightarrow X, (L_1, \dots, L_{r_1}; L_{r_1+1}, \dots, L_{r_2}; \dots; L_{r_{s-1}+1}, \dots, L_{r_s})] &\xrightarrow{\sigma=(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_s)} \\ [f : Y \rightarrow X, (L_{\sigma_1(1)}, \dots, L_{\sigma_1(r_1)}; L_{\sigma_2(r_1+1)}, \dots, L_{\sigma_2(r_2)}; \dots; L_{\sigma_s(r_{s-1}+1)}, \dots, L_{\sigma_s(r_s)})] & \end{aligned}$$

This extends to an action on the group completion $\mathcal{M}_{*,(r_i-r_{i-1})_{i=1}^s}(X)^+$, which, then, descends to an action on its quotient $\omega_{*,(r_i-r_{i-1})_{i=1}^s}(X)$. Endow $\mathcal{M}_{*,(r_i-r_{i-1})_{i=1}^s}(X)$, $\mathcal{M}_{*,(r_i-r_{i-1})_{i=1}^s}(X)^+$, and $\omega_{*,(r_i-r_{i-1})_{i=1}^s}(X)$ with the trivial $\times_{i=1}^s \text{Sym}_{r_i-r_{i-1}}$ -action. Then $\kappa_{\mathcal{M}}$, $\kappa_{\mathcal{M}^+}$, and κ are all $\times_{i=1}^s \text{Sym}_{r_i-r_{i-1}}$ -equivariant maps.

Proposition 1.2.2. $[\omega_{*,(r_i-r_{i-1})_{i=1}^s}(X) \text{ as quotient of } \omega_{*,1^{r_1}<\dots<r_s}(X)]$. *The $\times_{i=1}^s \text{Sym}_{r_i-r_{i-1}}$ -equivariant map $\kappa : \omega_{*,1^{r_1}<\dots<r_s}(X) \rightarrow \omega_{*,(r_i-r_{i-1})_{i=1}^s}(X)$ constructed above is a group/ $\omega_*(\mathbb{C})$ -module epimorphism.*

Proof. This follows from the same construction as in [Lee-P: Sec. 3.1]. It is enough to check that any α in $\omega_{*,(r_i-r_{i-1})_{i=1}^s}(X)$ of the form $[f : Y \rightarrow X, (V_1, \dots, V_s)]$ lies in $\text{Im } \kappa$. First, note that if $\dim Y = 0$, then $\alpha \in \text{Im } \kappa$ since any $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space splits. In general, let $\mathfrak{m} \subset \omega_*(\mathbb{C})$ be the ideal generated by elements of positive dimensions:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \omega_*(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0.$$

Then, there exists a *smooth* variety $\hat{Y}$ with a birational morphism $\hat{Y} \rightarrow Y$ such that²

- $(V_1, \dots, V_s)$ becomes a list of splittable vector bundles after being pulled back to $\hat{Y}$;
- denote the composition $\hat{Y} \rightarrow Y \xrightarrow{f} X$ by $\hat{f}$ and the pull-back of $(V_1, \dots, V_s)$ to $\hat{Y}$ by $(\hat{V}_1, \dots, \hat{V}_s)$, then

$$[\hat{f} : \hat{Y} \rightarrow X, (\hat{V}_1, \dots, \hat{V}_s)] - [f : Y \rightarrow X, (V_1, \dots, V_s)] \in \mathfrak{m} \cdot \omega_{*,(r_i-r_{i-1})_{i=1}^s}(X).$$

The proposition now follows by an induction on $\dim Y$. □

Algebraic cobordism of filtered vector bundles on varieties

With the preparation in the previous two themes of this subsection, [Lee-P], and Sec. 1.1 of the current note, one has now the following immediate generalizations of Lee and Pandharipande to algebraic cobordisms of filtered vector bundles on varieties via κ :

Theorem 1.2.3. $[\omega_{n,r_1<\dots<r_s}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \text{ as } \mathbb{Q}\text{-vector space}]$. (Cf. [Lee-P: Theorem 1].) *To each $(\lambda, \vec{\mu}) = (\lambda, (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_s)) \in \mathcal{P}_{n,r_1<r_2<\dots<r_s}$, associate an element*

$$\phi(\lambda, \vec{\mu}) = [\mathbb{P}^\lambda, E_1 \subset \dots \subset E_s]$$

in $\omega_{n,r_1<r_2<\dots<r_s}(\mathbb{C})$, where

- $\mathbb{P}^\lambda := \mathbb{P}^{\lambda_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}^{\lambda_{l(\lambda)}}$ *is a product of projective spaces specified by λ ,*
- $E_1 \subset \dots \subset E_s$ *is a filtered vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^\lambda$ defined as follows:*

²This step requires the result of Hironaka [Hi] on resolution of singularities.

- Let $\mu \subset \lambda$ be the union of $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_s$ and $pr_\mu^\lambda : \mathbb{P}^\lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^\mu$ be a projection map to a product of components of $\mathbb{P}^\lambda$ specified by μ . Then, up to an automorphism on $\mathbb{P}^\lambda$ that permutes equal-dimensional factors, pr_μ^λ is uniquely determined by (λ, μ) and, hence, by $(\lambda, \vec{\mu})$.
- For $m \in \mu$, let $\hat{pr}_{(m)}$ be the composition of projection maps $\mathbb{P}^\lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^\mu \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^m$ and define the line bundle $L_m := \hat{pr}_{(m)}^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}(1))$ on $\mathbb{P}^\lambda$ via pull-back.
- In terms of these line bundles,

$$\begin{aligned} E_i &:= \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^\lambda}^{r_i - \sum_{j=1}^i l(\mu_j)} \oplus \bigoplus_{m \in \mu_1 \cup \dots \cup \mu_i} L_m \\ &= \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^\lambda}^{r_i - \sum_{j=1}^i l(\mu_j)} \oplus \bigoplus_{m \in \mu_1 \cup \dots \cup \mu_i} \hat{pr}_{(m)}^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}(1)). \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\omega_{n, r_1 < \dots < r_s}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} = \bigoplus_{(\lambda, \vec{\mu}) \in \mathcal{P}_{n, r_1 < \dots < r_s}} \mathbb{Q} \cdot \phi(\lambda, \vec{\mu}).$$

Recall the ideal $\mathfrak{m} \subset \omega_*(\mathbb{C})$. Let

$$\mathcal{P}_{*, r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_s} := \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_{n, r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_s}.$$

Then, Theorem 1.2.3 says that, as a $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space, $\omega_{*, r_1 < \dots < r_s}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ has a basis

$$\mathcal{B}_{*, r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_s} := \{ \phi(\lambda, \vec{\mu}) : (\lambda, \vec{\mu}) \in \mathcal{P}_{*, r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_s} \}.$$

The set of elements in $\mathcal{B}_{*, r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_s}$ that do not lie in the $\omega_*(\mathbb{C})$ -submodule $\mathfrak{m} \cdot \omega_{*, r_1 < \dots < r_s}(\mathbb{C})$ of $\omega_{*, r_1 < \dots < r_s}(\mathbb{C})$ is given by

$$\mathcal{B}_{*, r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_s}^{\natural} := \{ \phi(\lambda, \vec{\mu}) : (\lambda, \vec{\mu}) \in \mathcal{P}_{*, r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_s}, l(\lambda) \leq r_s, \mu := \cup_{i=1}^s \mu_i = \lambda \}$$

Let

$$\mathcal{P}_{*, r_1 < \dots < r_s}^{\natural} := \{ (\lambda, \vec{\mu}) \in \mathcal{P}_{*, r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_s} : l(\lambda) \leq r_s, \mu = \lambda \}$$

from the above characterization. Then, one has the following two fundamental theorems on the structure of $\omega_{*, r_1 < \dots < r_s}(\mathbb{C})$ and $\omega_{*, r_1 < \dots < r_s}(X)$.

Theorem 1.2.4. [$\omega_{*, r_1 < \dots < r_s}(\mathbb{C})$ as $\omega_*(\mathbb{C})$ -module]. (Cf. [Lee-P: Theorem 2].) $\omega_{*, r_1 < \dots < r_s}(\mathbb{C})$ is a free $\omega_*(\mathbb{C})$ -module:

$$\omega_{*, r_1 < \dots < r_s}(\mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{(\lambda, \vec{\mu}) \in \mathcal{P}_{*, r_1 < \dots < r_s}^{\natural}} \omega_*(\mathbb{C}) \cdot \phi(\lambda, \vec{\mu}).$$

Theorem 1.2.5. [$\omega_{*, 1r_1 < \dots < r_s}(X)$ via $\omega_*(X) \otimes_{\omega_*(\mathbb{C})} \omega_{*, 1r_1 < \dots < r_s}(\mathbb{C})$]. (Cf. [Lee-P: Theorem 3].) For $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbb{C}}$, the natural map

$$\gamma_X : \omega_*(X) \otimes_{\omega_*(\mathbb{C})} \omega_{*, 1r_1 < \dots < r_s}(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \omega_{*, 1r_1 < \dots < r_s}(X)$$

of $\omega_*(\mathbb{C})$ -modules defined by

$$\gamma_X \left([Y \xrightarrow{f} X] \otimes \phi(\lambda, \vec{\mu}) \right) = [Y \times \mathbb{P}^\lambda \xrightarrow{f \circ pr_Y} X, pr_{\mathbb{P}^\lambda}^*(E_1 \subset \dots \subset E_s)]$$

is an isomorphism of $\omega_*(\mathbb{C})$ -modules. Here, $(\lambda, \vec{\mu}) \in \mathcal{P}_{*, r_1 < \dots < r_s}^{\natural}$; $pr_Y : Y \times \mathbb{P}^\lambda \rightarrow Y$, $pr_{\mathbb{P}^\lambda} : Y \times \mathbb{P}^\lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^\lambda$ are the projection maps; and $E_1 \subset \dots \subset E_s$ is the filtered vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^\lambda$ associated to $(\lambda, \vec{\mu})$ constructed in Theorem 1.2.3.

Finally, one has also the following numerical aspect of double point cobordism classes for filtered vector bundles on varieties:

Theorem 1.2.6. [Chern invariant]. (Cf. [Lee-P: Theorem 4].) *Let $\mathcal{C}_{n,r_1 < \dots < r_s}$ be the $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space of graded degree n -polynomials in the Chern classes:*

$$(c_1(T_Y), \dots, c_n(T_Y); c_1(E_1), \dots, c_{r_1}(E_1); c_1(E_2/E_1), \dots, c_{r_2-r_1}(E_2/E_1); \\ \dots \dots \dots; c_1(E_s/E_{s-1}), \dots, c_{r_s-r_{s-1}}(E_s/E_{s-1})).$$

The pairing

$$\rho : \omega_{n,r_1 < \dots < r_s}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \times \mathcal{C}_{n,r_1 < \dots < r_s} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$$

defined by

$$\rho([Y, E_1 \subset \dots \subset E_s], \Theta) \\ = \int_Y \Theta(c_1(T_Y), \dots, c_n(T_Y); c_1(E_1), \dots, c_{r_1}(E_1); c_1(E_2/E_1), \dots, c_{r_2-r_1}(E_2/E_1); \\ \dots \dots \dots; c_1(E_s/E_{s-1}), \dots, c_{r_s-r_{s-1}}(E_s/E_{s-1}))$$

is nondegenerate. In particular, a class

$$[Y, E_1 \subset \dots \subset E_s] \in \omega_{n,r_1 < \dots < r_s}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$$

is characterized by its Chern invariants.

$\omega_{*, F^\bullet}$ as a functor.

Part of the discussions in this subsection can be summarized in the following categorical picture.

Definition 1.2.7. [category $\mathbb{N}^{F^\bullet}$ of filtered positive integers]. The category $\mathbb{N}^{F^\bullet}$ of *filtered positive integers* is defined as follows:

- *Objects.* Finite (strictly) increasing sequence $r_1 < \dots < r_s$ of positive integers. We'll call them *filtered positive integers*.³ (The length s of $(r_1 < \dots < r_s) \in \mathbb{N}^{F^\bullet}$ can vary.)
- *Morphisms.* An arrow

$$(r_1 < \dots < r_s) \longrightarrow (r'_1 < \dots < r'_{s'})$$

is given if and only if

- $r_s = r'_{s'}$, and
- $r'_1 < \dots < r'_{s'}$ is obtained from $r_1 < \dots < r_s$ by removing some (possibly none) of the terms ($\neq r_s$) in the filtration.

Note that the set of morphisms thus defined satisfies the composition law.

Note that $\mathbb{N} \subset \mathbb{N}^{F^\bullet}$ as a subcategory, objects of which will be called *unfiltered* positive integers. A filtration $r_1 < \dots < r_s$ is said to be *complete* if it is of the form $1 < 2 < \dots < s-1 < s$ (i.e. $r_i = i$ for all i). The category $\mathbb{N}^{F^\bullet}$ has *initial elements* (resp. *terminal elements*)⁴ given exactly by the set of completely filtered positive integers (resp. unfiltered positive integers).

³Here, we think of $r_1 < \dots < r_s$ as a “filtration” of r_s with respect to ‘<’. For convenience, when a filtration $r_1 < \dots < r_s$ of r_s is implicitly specified, we will denote $r_1 < \dots < r_s$ simply by $F^\bullet r_s$.

⁴Here, an object x in a category $\mathcal{C}$ is called an *initial* (resp. *terminal*) element if there exist no morphisms of the form $y \rightarrow x$ (resp. $x \rightarrow y$) with $y \neq x$.

Consider the product category⁵ $\mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{N}^{F^\bullet}$ and let $\mathcal{A}b^{gr}$ be the category of graded abelian groups and $\mathcal{M}od_{\omega_*(\mathbb{C})}$ be the category of (left) $\omega_*(\mathbb{C})$ -modules.

Theorem 1.2.8. [$\omega_{*,F^\bullet,*}$ as a functor]. *The double point cobordism defines a covariant functor*

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{*,F^\bullet,*} : \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{N}^{F^\bullet} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{M}od_{\omega_*(\mathbb{C})} \subset \mathcal{A}b^{gr} \\ (X, F^\bullet r) &\longmapsto \omega_{*,F^\bullet r}(X) \end{aligned} .$$

It has the property that, when restricted to an $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbb{C}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{*,F^\bullet,*}(X, \cdot) : \mathbb{N}^{F^\bullet} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{M}od_{\omega_*(\mathbb{C})} \subset \mathcal{A}b^{gr} \\ F^\bullet r &\longmapsto \omega_{*,F^\bullet r}(X) \end{aligned} ,$$

$\omega_{*,F^\bullet,*}(X, \cdot)$ sends an initial element (resp. terminal element) in $\mathbb{N}^{F^\bullet}$ to a double point cobordism group $\omega_{*,1<2<\dots<r-1<r}(X) \simeq \omega_{*,1^r}(X)$ (resp. $\omega_{*,r}(X)$), for some r , of completely filtered vector bundles on varieties (resp. vector bundles on varieties), and a morphism in $\mathbb{N}^{F^\bullet}$ to an epimorphism in $\mathcal{M}od_{\omega_*(\mathbb{C})} \subset \mathcal{A}b^{gr}$.

2 Algebraic cobordism of and basic operations on vector bundles on varieties.

We address in this section the issue of compatibility between basic operations on vector bundles and algebraic cobordisms of vector bundles on varieties. This brings out also a question that we pose in the end.

(Non)compatibility between basic operations and algebraic cobordisms.

Recall the following theorem of Lee-Pandharipande: (Cf. Theorem 1.2.6 with $s = 1$.)

Theorem 2.1. [Chern invariant]. ([Lee-P: Theorem 4].) *The Chern invariants respect algebraic cobordism. The resulting map*

$$\omega_{n,r}(k) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{n,r}^*$$

is an isomorphism.

We'll use this to show, by an example on vector bundles on Calabi-Yau 3-folds, that:

- Among the four basic operations – direct sum, tensor product, dualization, and $\mathcal{H}om$ – on vector bundles on varieties, only dualization is compatible with algebraic cobordisms of vector bundles on varieties in general.

Proposition 2.2. [compatibility with dualization]. *The automorphism τ on the monoid $\mathcal{M}_{*,r}(X)$ that sends $(f : Y \rightarrow X, E)$ to $(f : Y \rightarrow X, E^\vee)$ induces an involution⁶ on the group/ $\omega_*(\mathbb{C})$ -module $\omega_{*,r}(X)$. In particular, if $\sum_i a_i [f_i : Y_i \rightarrow X, E_i] = \sum_j b_j [g_j : Z_j \rightarrow X, F_j]$ in $\omega_{*,r}(X)$, then $\sum_i a_i [f_i : Y_i \rightarrow X, E_i^\vee] = \sum_j b_j [g_j : Z_j \rightarrow X, F_j^\vee]$ in $\omega_{*,r}(X)$.*

⁵Here, the product $\mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_2$ of categories $\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}_2$ is defined to be the category whose set of objects is given by $Obj(\mathcal{C}_1) \times Obj(\mathcal{C}_2)$. Given (x_1, y_1) and $(x_2, y_2) \in \mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_2$, the set of morphism $Mor((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2))$ is defined to be $Mor(x_1, x_2) \times Mor(y_1, y_2)$.

⁶I.e. an automorphism τ of the group/module such that $\tau \circ \tau$ is the identity map.

Proof. τ extends naturally to an involution on the group $\mathcal{M}_{*,r}(X)^+$. Since dualization $(\cdot)^\vee$ of vector bundles commutes both with pullbacks and with restrictions of vector bundles, τ leaves the subgroup $R_{*,r}(X) \subset \mathcal{M}_{*,r}(X)^+$ generated by all double point relations over X invariant. The proposition thus follows. $\square$

Example 2.3. [Noncompatibility with direct sum, tensor product, and $\mathcal{H}om$]. Let

- X be a (smooth) complete intersection Calabi-Yau 3-fold from the zero-locus of a section of the rank-2 vector bundle $\mathcal{O}(2, 2, 0) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1, 1, 2)$ on $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

We will give examples of noncompatibility of algebraic cobordism with direct sum, tensor product, and $\mathcal{H}om$ in Parts (d), (e), and (f) after the preparation in Parts (a), (b), and (c).

(a) **Lemma [basic identity].** *Under the situation,*

$$[X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(d_1, d_2, d_3)|_X] = [X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(d_2, d_1, d_3)|_X]$$

in $\omega_{3,1}(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1)$ and, hence, also in $\omega_{3,1}(\mathbb{C})$ after pushing forward.

Proof. By Axiom (Sect) and Axiom (FGL) ([L-M: Definition 2.2.1]),⁷

$$\begin{aligned} [X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1] &= [\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \xrightarrow{id} \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1; \mathcal{O}(2, 2, 0), \mathcal{O}(1, 1, 2)] \\ &= \tilde{c}_1(\mathcal{O}(1, 1, 2)) \circ \tilde{c}_1(\mathcal{O}(2, 2, 0))([\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \xrightarrow{id} \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1]) \\ &= F(\tilde{c}_1(\mathcal{O}(1, 1, 0)), \tilde{c}_1(\mathcal{O}(0, 0, 2))) \\ &\quad \circ F(\tilde{c}_1(\mathcal{O}(1, 1, 0)), \tilde{c}_1(\mathcal{O}(1, 1, 0)))([\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \xrightarrow{id} \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1]) \\ &= F(\tilde{c}_1(\mathcal{O}(1, 1, 0)), \tilde{c}_1(\mathcal{O}(0, 0, 2))) \\ &\quad \left(F(\tilde{c}_1(\mathcal{O}(1, 1)), \tilde{c}_1(\mathcal{O}(1, 1)))([\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \xrightarrow{id} \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2]) \times [\mathbb{P}^1 \xrightarrow{id} \mathbb{P}^1] \right) \end{aligned}$$

in $\Omega_3(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1) = \omega_3(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1)$, where $F = F_{\Omega_*(\mathbb{C})}$ is the (commutative) formal group law (of rank 1) with coefficients in $\Omega_*(\mathbb{C}) = \omega_*(\mathbb{C})$. This implies that

$$[X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1] \in \iota_*(\Omega_*(H_{2,2} \times \mathbb{P}^1)) \subset \Omega_*(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1),$$

where $H_{2,2} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ is a Milnor hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ described by the zero-locus of a section of $\mathcal{O}(1, 1)$ that is transverse to the zero-section (cf. [L-M: Sec. 2.5.3]) and $\iota : H_{2,2} \times \mathbb{P}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is the built-in inclusion. It follows that

$$[X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(d_1, d_2, d_3)|_X] \in \iota_*(\omega_{*,1}(H_{2,2} \times \mathbb{P}^1)) \subset \omega_{*,1}(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1)$$

as well. Since $H_{2,2} \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is invariant under an automorphism of $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ that interchanges the first two $\mathbb{P}^2$ -factors and leaves the third $\mathbb{P}^1$ -factor fixed,

$$\mathcal{O}(d_1, d_2, d_3)|_{H_{2,2} \times \mathbb{P}^1} \simeq \mathcal{O}(d_2, d_1, d_3)|_{H_{2,2} \times \mathbb{P}^1}$$

as line bundles on $H_{2,2} \times \mathbb{P}^1$, for all $(d_1, d_2, d_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 3}$. Furthermore, cobordisms among classes $[f_i : X_i \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1]$'s in $\omega_*(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1)$ induce canonically cobordisms among classes $[f_i : X_i \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1, L|_{X_i}]$'s in $\omega_{*,1}(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1)$ and, similarly, cobordisms among classes

⁷To avoid a notation jam, here we denote the class $[X' \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(d_1, d_2, d_3)|_{X'}] \in \Omega_*(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1)$ also by $[X' \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(d_1, d_2, d_3)]$.

$[f_i : X_i \rightarrow H_{2,2} \times \mathbb{P}^1]$'s in $\omega_*(H_{2,2} \times \mathbb{P}^1)$ induce canonically cobordisms among classes $[f_i : X_i \rightarrow H_{2,2} \times \mathbb{P}^1, L|_{X_i}]$'s in $\omega_{*,1}(H_{2,2} \times \mathbb{P}^1)$, where L is a fixed line bundle on $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ in both situations. The lemma follows. $\square$

(b) *Chern invariants of line bundles.* Let $E = \mathcal{O}(d_1, d_2, d_2)|_X$. Then the Chern invariants of $[X, E] \in \omega_{3,1}(\mathbb{C})$ can be computed straightforwardly via the intersection product in the Chow ring $A^*(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1) \simeq \mathbb{Z}[H_1, H_2, H_3]/(H_1^3, H_2^3, H_3^2)$ – with⁸ $[X] = (2H_1 + 2H_2) \cdot (H_1 + H_2 + 2H_3)$, $c(X) = [X](1 + H_1)^3(1 + H_2)^3(1 + H_3)^2 / ((1 + 2H_1 + 2H_2)(1 + H_1 + H_2 + 2H_3))$ via the adjunction formula, $c(E) = [X](1 + d_1H_1 + d_2H_2 + d_3H_3)$, and the intersection product $H_1 \cdot H_1 \cdot H_2 \cdot H_2 \cdot H_3 = 1$ – which gives:⁹

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X c_3(T_X) &= -96, \\ \int_X c_2(T_X)c_1(E) &= 36(d_1 + d_2) + 24d_3, \\ \int_X c_1(E)^3 &= 12d_1d_2(d_1 + d_2) + 6((d_1 + d_2)^2 + 2d_1d_2)d_3, \\ \int_X c_2(T_X)c_1(T_X) &= \int_X c_1(T_X)^3 = \int_X c_1(T_X)^2c_1(E) = \int_X c_1(T_X)c_1(E)^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that these invariants are all functions of $(d_1 + d_2, d_1d_2)$, which is consistent with Part (a) Lemma under Theorem 2.1.

(c) *Chern invariants of rank-2 vector bundles.* Let $E = \mathcal{O}(a_1, a_2, a_3)|_X \oplus \mathcal{O}(b_1, b_2, b_3)|_X$. Then the Chern invariants of $[X, E] \in \omega_{3,2}(\mathbb{C})$ can be computed similarly as in Part (b) – with now $c(E) = [X](1 + a_1H_1 + a_2H_2 + a_3H_3)(1 + b_1H_1 + b_2H_2 + b_3H_3)$ – which gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X c_3(T_X) &= -96, \\ \int_X c_2(T_X)c_1(E) &= 36(a_1 + b_1 + a_2 + b_2) + 24(a_3 + b_3), \\ \int_X c_2(E)c_1(E) &= 2(a_2b_2(a_3 + b_3) + (a_2 + b_2)(a_2b_3 + a_3b_2)) + 2((a_1 + b_1)(a_1b_3 + a_3b_1) + a_1b_1(a_3 + b_3)) \\ &\quad + 4((a_1 + b_1)(a_2b_3 + a_3b_2) + (a_1b_2 + a_2b_1)(a_3 + b_3) + (a_1b_3 + a_3b_1)(a_2 + b_2)) \\ &\quad + 4((a_1 + b_1)a_2b_2 + (a_1b_2 + a_2b_1)(a_2 + b_2)) + 4((a_1 + b_1)(a_1b_2 + a_2b_1) + a_1b_1(a_2 + b_2)), \\ \int_X c_1(E)^3 &= 12(a_1 + b_1)^2(a_2 + b_2) + 12(a_1 + b_1)(a_2 + b_2)^2 + 6(a_1 + b_1)^2(a_3 + b_3) \\ &\quad + 6(a_2 + b_2)^2(a_3 + b_3) + 24(a_1 + b_1)(a_2 + b_2)(a_3 + b_3), \\ \int_X c_2(T_X)c_1(T_X) &= \int_X c_1(T_X)^3 \\ &= \int_X c_1(T_X)^2c_1(E) = \int_X c_1(T_X)c_2(E) = \int_X c_1(T_X)c_1(E)^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

⁸Here, we represent a cycle on X by its image on $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ via the built-in inclusion $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Similarly for Part (c).

⁹Part of the computations in Part (b) and Part (c) are aided by *Mathematica* and *Maple*.

(d) *Noncompatibility with direct sum.* Let

$$L_1 = \mathcal{O}(d_1, d_2, d_3)|_X, \quad L_2 = \mathcal{O}(d_2, d_1, d_3)|_X, \quad \text{and} \quad L_3 = \mathcal{O}(e_1, e_2, e_3)|_X.$$

Then, $[X, L_1] = [X, L_2]$ in $\omega_{3,1}(\mathbb{C})$ from Part (a) Lemma. However, let

$$E_1 := L_1 \oplus L_3 \quad \text{and} \quad E_2 := L_2 \oplus L_3.$$

Then, it follows from Part (c) that, for example,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_X c_2(E_1)c_1(E_1) - \int_X c_2(E_2)c_1(E_2) \\ &= -4(d_1 - d_2)(e_1 - e_2)((d_1 + d_2 + d_3) + (e_1 + e_2 + e_3)) \end{aligned}$$

after simplification. This shows that:

· While $[X, L_1] = [X, L_2]$ (and $[X, L_3] = [X, L_3]$) in $\omega_{3,1}(\mathbb{C})$,

$$[X, L_1 \oplus L_3] \neq [X, L_2 \oplus L_3]$$

in $\omega_{3,2}(\mathbb{C})$, for general choices of $((d_1, d_2, d_3), (e_1, e_2, e_3)) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 6}$.

(e) *Noncompatibility with tensor product.* L_1, L_2 , and L_3 as in Part (d). Let

$$E_1 := L_1 \otimes L_3 = \mathcal{O}(d_1 + e_1, d_2 + e_2, d_3 + e_3)|_X,$$

$$E_2 := L_2 \otimes L_3 = \mathcal{O}(d_2 + e_1, d_1 + e_2, d_3 + e_3)|_X.$$

Then, it follows from Part (b) that, for example,

$$\int_X c_1(E_1)^3 - \int_X c_1(E_2)^3 = -12(d_1 - d_2)(e_1 - e_2)(d_3 + e_3)$$

after simplification. This shows that:

· While $[X, L_1] = [X, L_2]$ (and $[X, L_3] = [X, L_3]$) in $\omega_{3,1}(\mathbb{C})$,

$$[X, L_1 \otimes L_3] \neq [X, L_2 \otimes L_3]$$

in $\omega_{3,1}(\mathbb{C})$, for general choices of $((d_1, d_2, d_3), (e_1, e_2, e_3)) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 6}$.

(f) *Noncompatibility with $\mathcal{H}om$.* L_1, L_2 , and L_3 as in Part (d). Let

$$E_1 := L_1^\vee \otimes L_3 = \mathcal{O}(-d_1 + e_1, -d_2 + e_2, -d_3 + e_3)|_X,$$

$$E_2 := L_2^\vee \otimes L_3 = \mathcal{O}(-d_2 + e_1, -d_1 + e_2, d_3 + e_3)|_X.$$

Then, it follows from Part (e) that

$$\int_X c_1(E_1)^3 - \int_X c_1(E_2)^3 = 12(d_1 - d_2)(e_1 - e_2)(d_3 + e_3).$$

Similarly, let

$$E'_1 := L_3^\vee \otimes L_1 = \mathcal{O}(d_1 - e_1, d_2 - e_2, d_3 - e_3)|_X,$$

$$E'_2 := L_3^\vee \otimes L_2 = \mathcal{O}(d_2 - e_1, d_1 - e_2, d_3 - e_3)|_X.$$

Then, it follows from Part (e) that

$$\int_X c_1(E'_1)^3 - \int_X c_1(E'_2)^3 = 12(d_1 - d_2)(e_1 - e_2)(d_3 - e_3).$$

This shows that:

· While $[X, L_1] = [X, L_2]$ (and $[X, L_3] = [X, L_3]$) in $\omega_{3,1}(\mathbb{C})$,

$$[X, \mathcal{H}om_X(L_1, L_3)] \neq [X, \mathcal{H}om_X(L_2, L_3)]$$

and

$$[X, \mathcal{H}om_X(L_3, L_1)] \neq [X, \mathcal{H}om_X(L_3, L_2)]$$

in $\omega_{3,1}(\mathbb{C})$, for general choices of $((d_1, d_2, d_3), (e_1, e_2, e_3)) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 6}$.

This concludes the example.

Question: Refined and higher algebraic cobordisms of vector bundles on varieties?

In the construction of Example 2.3, a reason why basic operations on vector bundles in general are not compatible with algebraic cobordisms is that

· *Isomorphisms of bundles on varieties in general do not fit into a cobordism family of isomorphisms of bundles on varieties that contains an identity map.*

Comparing this phenomenon with the construction of L -group and its higher extension $L^\bullet$ via the simplicial setting of the related cobordism theory for L -group (cf. [Lu]), it is natural to pose the following question:

Question 2.4. [refined and higher algebraic cobordism]. *Is there a refined construction of algebraic cobordism of bundles on varieties that make it compatible with basic operations on bundles? Is there a simplicial construction that gives rise to higher algebraic cobordisms $\Omega_*^\bullet$ (resp. $\omega_*^\bullet, \omega_{*,*}^\bullet$) of varieties or of vector bundles on varieties that homotopy-theoretically extends Ω_* of Levine and Morel [L-M] (resp. ω_* of Levine and Pandharipande [Lev-P], $\omega_{*,*}$ of Lee and Pandharipande [Lee-P])?*

Finally, we remark that the note is initiated from a discussion in [Tz2] and motivated by issues in enumerative geometry of BPS bound states of D-branes discussed in numerous string-theory literatures – for example, [D-M] (2007) of Frederik Denef and Gregory Moore – and the notion of configurations in abelian categories in [Jo] (2003–2005) of Dominic Joyce. This prepares us in part for related themes in a D-brane project (cf. [L-Y] (D(6)) for a partial review).

References

- [D-M] F. Denef and G.W. Moore, *Split states, entropy enigma, holes and halos*, arXiv:hep-th/0702146.
- [Ei] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra - with a view toward algebraic geometry*, GTM 150, Springer, 1995.
- [Fu] W. Fulton, *Intersection theory*, Ser. Mod. Surv. Math., Springer, 1984.
- [Hi] H. Hironaka, *Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero, I, II*. *Ann. Math.* **79** (1964), 109–203; 205–326.
- [Jo] D. Joyce, *Configurations in abelian categories: I. Basic properties and moduli stacks*, *Adv. Math.* **203** (2006), 194–255, (arXiv:math.AG/0312190); *II. Ringel-Hall algebras*, *ibid.* **210** (2007), 635–706, (arXiv:math.AG/0503029); *III. Stability conditions and identities*, *ibid.* **215** (2007), 153–219, (arXiv:math.AG/0410267); *IV. Invariants and changing stability conditions*, *ibid.* **217** (2008), 125–204, (arXiv:math.AG/0410268).
- [La] M. Lazard, *Sur les groupes de Lie formels à un paramètre*, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **83** (1955), 251–274.
- [Lu] J. Lurie, *Algebraic L-theory and surgery*, course Math 287x given at Harvard University, spring 2011.
- [L-M] M. Levine and F. Morel, *Algebraic cobordism*, Mono. Math., Springer, 2007.
- [Lee-P] Y.-P. Lee and R. Pandharipande, *Algebraic cobordism of bundles on varieties*, arXiv:1002.1500 [math.AG].
- [Lev-P] M. Levine and R. Pandharipande, *Algebraic cobordism revisited*, *Invent. Math.* **276** (2009), 63–130. (arXiv:math/0605196 [math.AG])
- [L-Y] C.-H. Liu and S.-T. Yau, *D-branes and Azumaya noncommutative geometry: From Polchinski to Grothendieck*, arXiv:1003.1178 [math.SG]. (D(6))
- [Tz1] Y.-j. Tzeng, *A proof of the Göttsche-Yau-Zaslow formula*, arXiv:1009.5371 [math.AG].
- [Tz2] ———, *Degeneration methods in enumerative geometry*, course Math 281x given at Harvard University, fall 2010.